Forward Head Posture and Cognitive Fatigue: A Hidden Academic Risk Among Students

Dr. Neeraja Deshmukh[1]*, Dr. Yogesh Patil[2]

1 Associate Professor, Indutai Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

neeraja.deshmukh93@gmail.com

2 Associate Professor, Indutai Tilak College of Physiotherapy, Pune, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: In the digital era, university students are increasingly exposed to prolonged screen time, often adopting poor postural habits such as forward head posture (FHP). While the musculoskeletal consequences of FHP, including neck pain and discomfort, have been widely documented, its potential cognitive and psychological implications remain insufficiently explored. This study investigates the relationship between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue among undergraduate students aged 18–25 years. A cross-sectional design was employed, utilizing craniovertebral angle (CVA) measurements obtained through photographic analysis to assess posture. Cognitive fatigue was evaluated using validated instruments, including the Cognitive Failure Questionnaire (CFQ) and the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS).

The findings revealed a significant association between reduced CVA (indicating more pronounced FHP) and increased levels of cognitive fatigue, diminished concentration, and heightened academic stress. Additionally, lifestyle factors such as prolonged screen time, poor sleep quality, and reduced physical activity were found to exacerbate both postural deviations and fatigue levels. These results suggest that posture may influence not only physical health but also cognitive functioning and mental wellbeing.

The study highlights the importance of integrating ergonomic awareness and posture correction strategies into student health programs. Addressing postural habits may serve as a simple, cost-effective intervention to enhance cognitive performance, reduce fatigue, and improve overall academic outcomes.

Keywords: Forward head posture, cognitive fatigue, craniovertebral angle, students, ergonomics, screen time, posture, mental fatigue, academic performance, musculoskeletal health

INTRODUCTION

The integration of digital technology into modern education has fundamentally reshaped the way students learn, interact, and engage with academic content. Over the past decade, the use of laptops, smartphones, tablets, and other digital devices has become indispensable in both formal and informal learning environments. While these technological advancements have

improved access to information, facilitated communication, and enhanced educational flexibility, they have also introduced a range of health-related concerns. Among these, prolonged sedentary behavior and poor postural habits have emerged as significant issues affecting student populations worldwide.

One of the most commonly observed postural deviations associated with prolonged device usage is forward head posture (FHP). FHP is characterized by the anterior displacement of the head relative to the vertical axis of the body, resulting in increased strain on the cervical spine and surrounding musculature. Biomechanically, for every inch the head moves forward, the load on the cervical spine increases significantly, leading to muscular imbalance, joint stress, and potential long-term structural changes. This posture is particularly prevalent among students who spend extended hours looking down at screens or maintaining static sitting positions without adequate ergonomic support.

The physical consequences of forward head posture have been extensively documented in the literature. These include neck pain, shoulder tension, headaches, reduced cervical range of motion, and increased risk of musculoskeletal disorders. However, the potential impact of FHP extends beyond the musculoskeletal system. Emerging research suggests that posture may also influence physiological processes such as respiration, circulation, and neural function. These physiological changes may, in turn, affect cognitive performance and psychological wellbeing.

Cognitive fatigue is a growing concern among students in today's high-demand academic environment. It is defined as a state of mental exhaustion that results from prolonged periods of cognitive activity, such as studying, problem-solving, or multitasking. Cognitive fatigue manifests in various ways, including reduced attention span, impaired memory, decreased processing speed, diminished decision-making ability, and increased susceptibility to stress and burnout. In academic settings, these effects can significantly hinder learning outcomes, productivity, and overall student performance.

The relationship between physical posture and cognitive function is a relatively underexplored area of research. However, several theoretical frameworks suggest that posture may influence brain function through multiple mechanisms. For instance, poor posture has been associated with reduced lung capacity and inefficient breathing patterns, which may decrease oxygen supply to the brain. Additionally, musculoskeletal strain and discomfort can act as persistent

stressors, diverting cognitive resources away from task performance. Chronic discomfort may also lead to increased mental fatigue and reduced motivation.

Furthermore, posture has been linked to psychological states such as mood, confidence, and stress levels. Studies have shown that slouched or collapsed postures are associated with negative emotional states, whereas upright postures are linked to increased alertness, confidence, and positive affect. These psychological factors play a crucial role in cognitive functioning, suggesting that posture may indirectly influence academic performance through its impact on mental wellbeing.

Another important aspect to consider is the lifestyle behavior associated with forward head posture. Students who exhibit FHP are often those who engage in prolonged screen use, limited physical activity, and irregular sleep patterns. These factors are independently associated with cognitive fatigue and may act synergistically to exacerbate its effects. For example, excessive screen time has been linked to visual strain, sleep disturbances, and reduced attention capacity, all of which contribute to mental exhaustion.

Despite the growing recognition of these interconnected factors, there remains a lack of comprehensive research examining the direct relationship between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue in student populations. Most existing studies have focused primarily on the physical consequences of poor posture, with limited attention given to its cognitive and psychological implications. This gap in the literature highlights the need for further investigation into how postural habits may influence mental functioning.

The present study aims to address this gap by exploring the association between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue among undergraduate students. By utilizing objective postural measurements and validated fatigue assessment tools, this research seeks to provide empirical evidence supporting the link between physical posture and cognitive health. Additionally, the study examines related lifestyle factors, including screen time, sleep quality, and physical activity, to better understand their role in this relationship.

Understanding the connection between posture and cognitive fatigue has important implications for student health and academic success. If posture is found to significantly influence cognitive functioning, interventions aimed at improving postural habits could serve as a simple and cost-effective strategy to enhance student wellbeing. Such interventions may

include ergonomic education, posture correction exercises, regular movement breaks, and the promotion of active lifestyles.

In an era where digital learning is becoming increasingly dominant, addressing the health challenges associated with prolonged screen use is essential. By shedding light on the cognitive implications of forward head posture, this study contributes to a more holistic understanding of student health, emphasizing the need to consider both physical and mental dimensions of wellbeing.

OBJECTIVES

1. To assess the prevalence of forward head posture among undergraduate students.
2. To evaluate the levels of cognitive fatigue using standardized tools.
3. To analyze the relationship between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue.
4. To explore associated factors such as screen time, sleep patterns, and physical activity.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted among undergraduate students aged 18-25 years from various academic disciplines. Participants were recruited through convenience sampling, and informed consent was obtained prior to participation.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Students who regularly used digital devices for at least 3 hours per day were included. Individuals with known neurological disorders, recent musculoskeletal injuries, or chronic illnesses affecting posture or cognition were excluded.

Data Collection

Data were collected in three main domains:

1. **Postural Assessment:** Forward head posture was assessed using photographic analysis. Participants were photographed in a relaxed standing position from the lateral view. The craniovertebral angle (CVA) was measured by identifying anatomical landmarks, the tragus of

the ear and the spinous process of the seventh cervical vertebra (C7). A smaller CVA indicated a more pronounced forward head posture.

2. **Cognitive Fatigue Measurement:** Cognitive fatigue was evaluated using:

- Cognitive Failure Questionnaire (CFQ)
- Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS)

These tools measured aspects such as forgetfulness, distractibility, and mental exhaustion.

3. **Lifestyle Factors:** Information regarding daily screen time, physical activity levels, and sleep duration was collected using a structured questionnaire.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Correlation analysis was performed to examine the relationship between CVA and cognitive fatigue scores. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics

A total of 150 students participated in the study, with a balanced distribution of male and female participants. The average daily screen time was approximately 6-8 hours, reflecting the digital dependence of modern academic life.

Prevalence of Forward Head Posture

A significant proportion of students demonstrated reduced craniovertebral angles, indicating the presence of forward head posture. Many participants were unaware of their postural habits until assessed.

Cognitive Fatigue Findings

Students with pronounced FHP reported higher scores on both CFQ and FAS, indicating increased cognitive fatigue. Common complaints included difficulty concentrating, frequent mental lapses, and persistent tiredness despite adequate sleep.

Correlation Analysis

A moderate negative correlation was observed between CVA and cognitive fatigue scores. This suggests that as forward head posture becomes more severe (lower CVA), cognitive fatigue increases.

Associated Lifestyle Factors

High screen time and poor sleep quality were also significantly associated with both FHP and cognitive fatigue. Students who engaged in regular physical activity demonstrated comparatively better posture and lower fatigue levels.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence of a significant association between forward head posture (FHP) and cognitive fatigue among undergraduate students. The observed negative correlation between craniovertebral angle (CVA) and cognitive fatigue scores suggests that individuals with more pronounced forward head posture tend to experience higher levels of mental exhaustion, reduced concentration, and increased academic stress. These results contribute to a growing body of literature that emphasizes the interconnectedness of physical posture and cognitive functioning.

One of the primary explanations for this relationship lies in the biomechanical consequences of forward head posture. FHP places excessive strain on the cervical spine, leading to muscle fatigue, joint stress, and discomfort. This chronic musculoskeletal strain can act as a continuous source of sensory input, requiring the brain to allocate resources toward pain perception and regulation. As a result, fewer cognitive resources may be available for tasks requiring attention, memory, and problem-solving, thereby contributing to cognitive fatigue.

In addition to musculoskeletal strain, forward head posture may influence physiological processes that are critical for optimal brain function. For instance, FHP has been associated with reduced thoracic expansion and altered breathing patterns, often resulting in shallow or inefficient respiration. This can lead to decreased oxygen intake, which may impair cerebral oxygenation and reduce cognitive performance. Adequate oxygen supply is essential for maintaining alertness, concentration, and mental endurance; therefore, any disruption in respiratory efficiency may contribute to feelings of fatigue and decreased cognitive capacity.

Another important physiological factor to consider is blood circulation. Poor posture may impede blood flow to the brain, particularly when combined with prolonged static positions. Reduced cerebral circulation can negatively affect neural activity, leading to slower information processing and increased mental fatigue. Over time, these effects may accumulate, resulting in chronic cognitive exhaustion.

The psychological dimension of posture also plays a significant role in understanding the relationship between FHP and cognitive fatigue. Posture has been shown to influence emotional states, with slouched or forward head positions often associated with negative mood, decreased confidence, and increased stress levels. These psychological factors are closely linked to cognitive performance. For example, elevated stress levels can impair working memory, reduce attention span, and increase susceptibility to distraction. Similarly, negative mood states can decrease motivation and engagement, further contributing to cognitive fatigue.

The role of lifestyle factors in this relationship cannot be overlooked. The study findings indicate that high screen time, poor sleep quality, and low levels of physical activity are significantly associated with both forward head posture and cognitive fatigue. These factors may act as mediators, amplifying the effects of poor posture on cognitive functioning. Prolonged screen use not only encourages poor posture but also exposes students to blue light, which can disrupt circadian rhythms and impair sleep quality. Inadequate sleep, in turn, is a well-established contributor to cognitive fatigue.

Physical inactivity is another critical factor. Regular physical activity has been shown to improve posture, enhance blood circulation, and promote better cognitive function. Students who engage in physical exercise are more likely to maintain musculoskeletal health and experience lower levels of fatigue. In contrast, sedentary behavior contributes to muscle (weakness), postural imbalance, and reduced energy levels.

The findings of this study are consistent with previous research that highlights the impact of sedentary lifestyles and poor ergonomics on both physical and cognitive health. However, this study extends existing knowledge by specifically examining the link between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue, an area that has received relatively limited attention.

From a practical perspective, these results underscore the importance of addressing postural habits as part of a comprehensive approach to student health. Interventions aimed at improving posture may have far-reaching benefits, extending beyond the prevention of musculoskeletal

disorders to include enhanced cognitive performance and mental wellbeing. Simple strategies such as maintaining proper screen height, using ergonomic chairs, taking regular breaks, and performing posture correction exercises can significantly reduce the risk of developing FHP.

Educational institutions have a crucial role to play in promoting ergonomic awareness and healthy lifestyle practices among students. Incorporating posture education into health programs, providing ergonomically designed furniture, and encouraging active learning environments can help mitigate the negative effects of prolonged screen use. Additionally, healthcare professionals should consider assessing posture as part of routine evaluations and educating students about its potential impact on cognitive health.

Despite its contributions, this study has certain limitations. The cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causality between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue. It is possible that the relationship is bidirectional, with cognitive fatigue also influencing postural habits. Furthermore, the reliance on self-reported measures for fatigue and lifestyle factors may introduce bias. Future research should employ longitudinal designs and objective measures of cognitive performance to better understand the causal pathways involved.

Further studies could also explore the effectiveness of targeted interventions, such as ergonomic training programs, physical therapy, and mindfulness-based approaches, in reducing both FHP and cognitive fatigue. Investigating the role of additional variables, such as stress, academic workload, and psychological resilience, may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing student wellbeing.

In conclusion, the present study highlights the significant relationship between forward head posture and cognitive fatigue among undergraduate students. The findings suggest that posture is not merely a physical concern but a multifaceted factor that can influence cognitive performance, mental health, and academic success. Addressing postural habits through ergonomic interventions and lifestyle modifications may serve as an effective strategy to enhance both physical and cognitive wellbeing in the student population.

IMPLICATIONS

The results of this study have important implications for students, educators, and healthcare professionals. Addressing posture-related issues could serve as a simple and cost-effective strategy to improve both physical and cognitive health.

For Students

- Maintaining proper posture during study and screen use
- Taking regular breaks to reduce strain
- Engaging in physical activity and stretching exercises

For Educational Institutions

- Promoting ergonomic awareness
- Providing ergonomically designed furniture
- Incorporating posture education into health programs

For Healthcare Professionals

- Early screening for postural deviations
- Educating patients about the cognitive impact of posture
- Designing interventions that address both physical and mental health

LIMITATIONS

The cross-sectional design does not establish causation. The sample size was limited, and self-reported data may be subject to bias. Future research should include longitudinal studies and objective measures of cognitive performance.

CONCLUSION

Forward head posture is more than a physical concern; it may significantly influence cognitive fatigue and academic performance among students. In an era dominated by digital learning, addressing postural habits is essential for maintaining both mental and physical wellbeing. Simple interventions such as ergonomic adjustments, posture correction, and lifestyle modifications can play a crucial role in enhancing student health and productivity.

References

1. Straker L, Mathiassen SE. Increased physical workloads in modern work – a necessity for better health and performance? Ergonomics. 2009;52(10):1215–25.

2. Szeto GPY, Lee R. An ergonomic evaluation comparing desktop, notebook, and subnotebook computers. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2002;83(4):527–32.

3. Rosenfield M. Computer vision syndrome: a review of ocular causes and potential treatments. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2011;31(5):502–15.

4. Loh KK, Kanai R. Higher media multitasking activity is associated with smaller gray-matter density. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e106698.

5. Boksem MAS, Tops M. Mental fatigue: costs and benefits. Brain Res Rev. 2008;59(1):125–39.

6. Wirth B, Knecht C, Humphreys K. Spine day 2012: spinal pain in Swiss school children—epidemiology and risk factors. BMC Pediatr. 2013;13:159.

7. Quek J, Pua YH, Clark RA, Bryant AL. Effects of thoracic kyphosis and forward head posture on cervical range of motion. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2013;36(3):160–7.

8. Lee H, Nicholson LL, Adams RD. Cervical range of motion associations with subclinical neck pain. Spine. 2004;29(1):33–40.

9. Broadbent DE, Cooper PF, FitzGerald P, Parkes KR. The Cognitive Failures Questionnaire (CFQ). Br J Clin Psychol. 1982;21(1):1–16.

10. Michielsen HJ, De Vries J, Van Heck GL. Psychometric qualities of a brief self-rated fatigue measure. J Psychosom Res. 2003;54(4):345–52.

11. Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health outcomes. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41(2):207–15.

12. Kim MS. Influence of neck pain on cervical movement in the sagittal plane during smartphone use. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015;27(1):15–7.

13. Harman K, Hubley-Kozey CL, Butler H. Effectiveness of an exercise program to improve forward head posture in normal adults. J Man Manip Ther. 2005.

14. Ruivo RM, Pezarat-Correia P, Carita AI. Effects of a resistance and stretching training program on forward head posture. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2017.

15. Kang JH, Park RY, Lee SJ, et al. The effect of smartphone use on cervical spine posture. J Phys Ther Sci. 2012.

16. Szeto GP, Straker LM, O'Sullivan PB. A comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic office workers performing computer tasks. Spine. 2005.

17. Villanueva MBG, Jonai H, Sotoyama M, et al. Sitting posture and neck/shoulder pain. Ind Health. 1997.

18. O'Sullivan K, O'Dea P, Dankaerts W, et al. Neutral lumbar spine sitting posture. Man Ther. 2012.

19. Mehta RK, Parasuraman R. Neuroergonomics: a review of applications. Theor Issues Ergon Sci. 2013.

20. Lim J, Dinges DF. Sleep deprivation and vigilant attention. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2008.