

Creative Practice as Embodied Care: Disability-Led Visual Art and Pathways to Emotional Well-Being

Ms. Tanvi Bhadre^{1*}, Dr. Anupama Patil²

1 Assistant Professor, Bharati Vidyapeeth College of Fine Arts, Maharashtra, India

2 Principal, Bharati Vidyapeeth College of Fine Arts, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: This paper investigates the link between creative practice and emotional well-being through the lens of disability-led visual art. Unlike clinical frameworks that emphasize art as therapy or rehabilitation, we propose viewing creativity as embodied care: a dialogic process of self-knowledge, adaptation, and resistance. Drawing on disability studies and embodied cognition, we examine how disabled visual artists' bodily experiences shape their aesthetic systems. Qualitative interviews with disabled artists and analysis of their work reveal that sensory-rich engagement with materials, adaptive techniques, repetitive gestures, and spatial adjustments serve to *structure affect* rather than simply express it. For example, therapists note that rhythmic drawing exercises provide a stabilizing somatic anchor that calms the nervous system. Similarly, artists repurpose tools to extend their sensory reach, what Rice et al. (2024) call "dis-using" technology to create new forms. Disability aesthetics thus emerges as a form of epistemic resistance, challenging medicalized notions of art and mental health. One scholar observes that disability-led art has "transformative potential...in re-imagining an abled-bodied world" by making the familiar unfamiliar. We illustrate these concepts with Indian case examples, from a foot-painter expressing "empathy, love, and bonding" to a major Delhi exhibition reframing disability as "limitless potential" underscoring the global relevance of embodied creativity.

Keywords: disability aesthetics; creative practice; emotional well-being; embodied cognition; crip theory; visual art

1. INTRODUCTION

Popular mental health discourse often frames artistic practice as a therapeutic tool for catharsis, coping, or rehabilitation (Malchiodi, 2012). Clinical literature highlights creativity's role in emotional release and resilience, but this instrumental view can inadvertently diminish the agency of artists by positioning art primarily as a support mechanism for well-being. Such a perspective is particularly limiting when applied to disabled artists, whose creative methods frequently depart from normative artistic practices. Their work often emerges from embodied differences, nonstandard grips, adaptive tools, or altered body positions, producing aesthetic forms that cannot be reduced simply to emotional expression.

This study instead approaches disability-led art as a form of embodied care. In this perspective, artistic practice becomes a dynamic interaction between body, environment, and emotional experience. Creative processes unfold through sensory engagement, rhythm, and material feedback rather than solely through intentional emotional release. Institutional initiatives also reflect this shift. For instance, the **Beyond Limits Exhibition** in India showcased works by dozens of disabled artists as a professional art platform rather than a charitable initiative, aiming to shift perceptions from limitation to creative potential.

The study draws on frameworks from disability studies and embodied cognition. Scholars such as **Rosemarie Garland-Thomson** and **Robert McRuer** conceptualize disability as a sociocultural condition rather than an individual deficit, while **Tobin Siebers** highlights how bodily difference generates new artistic meanings. Using qualitative interviews and artwork analysis, this research explores how disabled artists' creative practices function as sites of emotional regulation, identity formation, and resistance, demonstrating how art operates not merely as therapy but as an embodied mode of care and knowledge.

1.1 Disability Studies and Aesthetics

Disability studies has profoundly altered our understanding of disability, emphasizing social and cultural construction (Garland-Thomson, 2017). Disability aesthetics, a subfield, asks how disabled artists challenge aesthetic norms. As Siebers (2010) puts it, disability aesthetics highlights how disability “tracks” feelings in aesthetic experience. The disabled body, in this view, is an aesthetic form with its own meanings. Modern art history already embraces broken or atypical forms – Dada’s distortions, Expressionism’s contortions, etc. – often as symbols of the human condition. Siebers argues that disability itself “has become a powerful tool for rethinking...creativity”. By centering the disabled body, artists create a visual language that deliberately *destabilizes* conventional beauty or skill. For example, outsider art movements show that neurological diversity (autism, schizophrenia) can inspire radically new styles. Thus, disability aesthetics reframes impairment not as limit but as creative stimulus, reshaping how we define artistic possibility.

1.2 Crip Theory and Creative Resistance

Crip theory, developed by **Robert McRuer** (2006), extends disability critique by framing disability as an identity that actively resists normative expectations. The term “crip” is a reclaimed concept that challenges ableism and heteronormativity simultaneously. McRuer

argues that contemporary society operates through what he calls “compulsory able-bodiedness,” a system that assumes independence, productivity, and physical normalcy as universal standards. Crip theory instead asks what cultural values might emerge if interdependence, slowness, and bodily difference were recognized as meaningful forms of experience.

Within artistic practice, this perspective highlights how disabled temporalities and spatial practices can generate alternative aesthetics. Artists living with fatigue, chronic pain, or limited mobility often work at slower rhythms or in fragmented intervals, producing textures and processes that differ from conventional studio routines. Research by **Caroline Rice** and colleagues (2024) shows that disabled artists frequently repurpose technologies, becoming what they describe as “creative technologists.” For example, an artist unable to hold a brush might operate a digital painting program through a wheelchair joystick, producing abstract compositions shaped by bodily movement and mechanical mediation. Such practices demonstrate how disability-led art produces new aesthetic possibilities while resisting assumptions about bodily limitation.

Crip perspectives also challenge the expectation that disabled artists must represent trauma or inspire sympathy. Scholars such as **Jihoon Yi** (2022) note that disabled creators often reject this narrative, emphasizing that their work reflects the full spectrum of human experience. Many artists deliberately create work unrelated to disability, thereby resisting tokenistic representation. As disability arts research by **Rachel Graeme-Cook** and colleagues (2023) suggests, such practices possess transformative potential: by presenting alternative embodiments and perspectives, disability-led art can disrupt ableist norms and expand cultural understandings of creativity, identity, and agency.

1.3 Embodied Cognition and Artistic Practice

Embodied cognition theory (Varela et al., 1991; Barsalou et al., 2003) proposes that thinking and emotion emerge through sensorimotor interaction with the world rather than through abstract mental processes alone. Applied to artistic practice, this perspective suggests that creativity arises from the body’s engagement with materials, tools, and space. Griffith (2021) describes this process as “embodied creativity,” emphasizing how posture, movement, and environment influence artistic thinking. During art-making, the body and medium continually interact: the resistance of clay, the drag of charcoal on paper, or the weight of a brush provide

feedback that shapes the artist's next action. Neuroscientific perspectives suggest that such feedback loops integrate emotional and cognitive processes, allowing artistic activity to engage both the body and the nervous system.

For disabled artists, these embodied dynamics become particularly visible. A quadriplegic painter may modulate brushstrokes through controlled breathing, while a visually impaired sculptor relies on touch and spatial memory to shape forms. These adaptations reveal how the body participates directly in creative thinking. The framework of 4E cognition—embodied, embedded, enactive, and extended—further suggests that tools and environments function as extensions of the mind. For example, an artist with Parkinson's tremor might incorporate the tremor into patterned brushwork, transforming a physical condition into an aesthetic method.

This perspective reframes disability not as limitation but as an alternative mode of embodiment in creative practice. Adaptive techniques often produce calming effects because they align bodily rhythms with emotional states. As many participants noted, repetitive artistic actions helped settle their thoughts, much like rhythmic breathing can reduce anxiety. Creativity thus becomes an experiential process through which emotion is regulated, understood, and expressed beyond language.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, interpretive design grounded in disability studies and phenomenology. We center the first-person accounts of disabled artists, treating their narratives and artworks as primary data.

Interviews. We conducted semi-structured interviews with a purposive sample of contemporary disabled visual artists. Recruitment targeted artists who explicitly incorporate adaptive methods (e.g. foot-painting, head-held tools, digital interfaces) or who self-identify as drawing on their lived bodily experience. Interviews (60–90 minutes) explored topics such as personal creative history, material interactions, technical adaptations, emotional experience in the studio, and perceptions of identity and disability. The format allowed for open-ended reflection, enabling participants to describe detailed processes and feelings. All interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Art and Textual Analysis. In tandem, we performed analysis of selected artworks and related documents (e.g. artist statements, exhibition catalogs). We chose artworks that exemplified

themes from the interviews (e.g. a series of repetitive patterns, a large-scale installation requiring bodily movement). Each piece was examined for formal features: gesture, texture, spatial arrangement, color palettes, etc. These formal observations were then compared with artists' descriptions of their process. For instance, if an artist spoke of layering paint as catharsis, we examined those layers in the final work for signs of accumulation or insulation. This combined approach deepens the interpretive analysis, linking embodied practice to emotional intent.

Thematic Analysis. All data were analyzed using thematic coding (Braun & Clarke, 2006). We began with open coding of transcripts and notes, identifying recurring ideas such as *"bodily feedback," "pattern as calm," "innovation through constraint,"* and *"representing disability."* These codes were then grouped into higher-level themes corresponding to our theoretical interests: (1) Material Engagement, (2) Adaptive Technique, (3) Repetition/Rhythm, (4) Spatial Awareness, and (5) Creative Resistance. We iteratively refined these themes by returning to the data and theoretical literature. Representative quotes were selected for each theme to ensure participants' own language informed our analysis.

Ethical Considerations. Ethical approval was obtained, and all participants gave informed consent. We ensured anonymity or pseudonymity as requested. Our approach prioritized respect and reciprocity: artists guided the interpretation of their work, and we avoided pathologizing language.

3. FINDINGS AND RESULTS

3.1 Material Engagement as Emotional Dialogue

Participants consistently described making art as a dialogue between their body and the material. They did not simply impose a preplanned image; rather, the *resistance* and *feedback* of materials guided their process and revealed emotional states. For example, one painter noted that the roughness of a collage element could *echo* her frustration, which she then chose to smooth over with a gestural stroke. This sensory feedback loop aligns with embodied cognition ideas: the body senses and interprets emotion through touch and movement.

Many artists reported discovering feelings in the act of creation. A sculptor said that kneading clay often surfaced anxiety she hadn't consciously felt; by "pushing it into the clay," she felt unburdened. Similarly, a digital artist with sensory processing issues found that slowing her mouse movements actually slowed her heart rate. These accounts show that art-making can *regulate* emotion through sensory channels. As one art therapist notes, a sensory-rich creative space "invites the body and nervous system to participate in healing". The artists' experiences echo this: by engaging their senses and movement, they accessed emotional shifts beyond verbal control.

Importantly, this material engagement offered the artists a sense of *agency*. Although their bodies might have limitations, the materials were always an active partner. A painter with limited arm mobility described how a thick, toothy paint forced him to exert effort, which he found empowering: he felt he had *done* something substantial. In this way, the dialogic process of making art also became affirming.

3.2 Adaptive Techniques as Aesthetic Innovation

Every artist in our study had developed at least one adaptive technique in response to bodily difference. Crucially, these techniques often evolved into defining features of their art. For instance, a painter who lost function in his dominant arm adapted by holding brushes in his toes. Initially a workaround, this method eventually became central to his style: he achieved swirling, calligraphic strokes that he wouldn't have made with hands. As he described, the adaptation "opened up new ideas on the canvas that my hand could never have done."

Another participant with tremors found that using a broad foam brush instead of a fine tip gave her work a characteristic soft-edged quality. These examples illustrate Rice et al.'s (2024) idea of disabled creators as "creative technologists" who repurpose tools and technologies to extend their embodiment. In practice, their adaptive methods did more than compensate – they sparked innovation. The tactile irregularities, unexpected forms, and novel textures became aesthetic assets. One artist with vision loss uses a heavily textured canvas so she can feel the work; the resulting relief textures stand out visually as well, creating a signature style. As she put it, "My disability led me to a look no one else has."

3.3 Repetition and Rhythm as Emotional Structuring

Repetitive gestures and rhythms were prominent in many artists' accounts. Participants frequently described certain motions as *calming* or *centering*. One artist said that his anxiety subsided when he repeatedly stroked his brush in the same pattern, likening it to deep breathing. Another compared stitching the same color thread into fabric to a mantra; each stitch's rhythm "grounded" her over time. These descriptions align with trauma-informed art therapy, which finds that rhythmic art activities provide a "stabilizing rhythm" mirroring the body's own beat. Engaging in these patterns seems to signal safety to the nervous system, shifting from a reactive state to a more restful one.

Moreover, the artists emphasized that repetition gave them *agency* during emotional turbulence. Rather than being overwhelmed, they could literally hold an emotion in hand and shape it gradually. As one said, "Each repeated stroke says: I control this feeling." This reflects the idea that repetitive art acts as a kind of ritual (Susan Day's terms). The predictability of pattern makes emotions feel contained. A participant with PTSD noted that when flashbacks hit, focusing on a repetitive Zentangle design pulled him back to the present. In this way, repetition offered an embodied coping strategy: it did not just express fear or sadness, but *organized* it into a form that could be visually observed and modulated.

Consistently, artists linked the act of repetition to a sense of progress and release. In many cases, a chaotic initial attempt would settle into an ordered pattern by the end. This visual transformation often paralleled emotional change – for instance, an artist described how a messy canvas of scribbles evolved into a harmonious grid as she calmed down. These narrative arcs suggest that through repetition, art-making can create a bridge from dysregulation to serenity. Thus, rather than therapy in the conventional sense, this can be seen as an embodied negotiation of affect, where pattern becomes a means to care for oneself.

3.4 Spatial Reorientation and Sensory Awareness

Artists' practices frequently involved reconfiguring their spatial and sensory experiences within the studio. Many described working from unconventional postures—on the floor, lying down, or leaning on supports—or rearranging tools to suit their bodies. These adaptations often heightened other sensory inputs. For example, one deaf painter used highly textured paints and strong color contrasts to "feel" her work visually, describing how the surfaces seemed to "vibrate" under her gaze. Another artist with cerebral palsy explained that

working from a wheelchair altered her spatial thinking, leading her to compose images according to what was reachable or visible from a seated perspective.

Some participants also intentionally engaged non-visual senses. A blind painter mixed fragrant pigments into her materials, noting that scent helped her relax and remain present while working. Similarly, a deaf sculptor synchronized his carving movements with rhythmic drumming played through headphones, aligning tactile feedback with the beat. In these cases, disability encouraged a multisensory approach to making art. The studio became an experiential environment where cognition extended into space, tools, and bodily movement.

These adaptations also influenced the visual structure of artworks. Compositions sometimes reflected off-center perspectives or arrangements shaped by bodily reach rather than academic conventions. As a result, the artworks often carried traces of the artists' movement and spatial engagement. Rather than constraints, such embodied adaptations became generative features that enriched both perception and aesthetic expression.

3.5 Art as Epistemic Resistance

Across participants, a strong theme was the idea that their art extended beyond personal expression to challenge dominant narratives about disability. For many artists, creative practice became a means of asserting identity and agency within social environments where disabled voices are often marginalized. Their work carried explicit or subtle messages that questioned assumptions about ability, creativity, and representation.

Several artists rejected the expectation that their art should revolve around pain or struggle. As one painter explained, *"If I draw a scene that looks normal, people are surprised. They expect my art to look disabled."* By producing joyful, abstract, or unrelated imagery, artists resisted the stereotype that disability art must depict impairment. This approach represents a form of epistemic resistance, asserting that disabled creativity cannot be reduced to bodily limitation.

At the same time, many artworks subtly confronted viewers' biases. One sculptor created an idealized human figure with slightly distorted proportions, prompting viewers to question why only "normal" bodies are considered beautiful. Another artist painted portraits where one arm was hidden in shadow, drawing attention to the social invisibility of disability.

Participants also emphasized community impact. Many taught workshops or exhibited in mixed-ability spaces to inspire others. As one artist noted, *“When people see my students smiling over their paintings, they see ability, not just disability.”* In this way, creative practice becomes both personal expression and cultural transformation.

3.6 Indian Case Studies

The themes identified in this study resonate strongly within Indian disability art contexts, highlighting the global relevance of embodied creativity. For instance, **Sheela Sharma**, a Delhi-based painter who lost her arms in childhood, learned to paint using her foot, holding the brush between her toes. Her vibrant depictions of everyday life demonstrate how adaptive techniques can generate distinctive aesthetics. Sharma emphasizes that her work is driven by expression rather than sympathy, illustrating how embodied adaptation can expand artistic language.

Institutional initiatives in India further reflect this shift. The **Beyond Limits Exhibition**, organized by the **Family of Disabled**, showcased over 100 artworks by disabled artists and positioned the exhibition as a professional cultural platform rather than charity. Such efforts challenge stereotypes by foregrounding artistic skill and creative agency.

Community-based projects also demonstrate the emotional benefits of creative practice. In a rural Tamil Nadu art center, Zentangle drawing sessions for young adults with intellectual disabilities fostered calmness, concentration, and confidence through repetitive pattern-making and collaborative validation.

Together, these examples reinforce the study’s findings: disabled artists are not merely recipients of therapy but active producers of aesthetic knowledge. By embracing embodied difference, they transform creative practice into a source of resilience, identity, and cultural contribution.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that disability-led creativity requires a reframing of the relationship between art and well-being. Rather than functioning as a clinical tool to restore emotional balance, artistic practice emerged as an embodied process through which emotional regulation occurs in the act of making itself. Participants rarely described art as a means to express pre-existing emotions. Instead, emotional shifts unfolded through movement, rhythm,

and engagement with materials. As one artist explained, *“When I start painting, I feel my body breathe out tension before I even name it.”* This observation reflects principles of embodied cognition, where bodily action and emotional experience operate within a continuous loop.

Notably, participants were often skeptical of framing their practice as therapy. A wheelchair-using painter remarked that she was not “healing” through art but simply living through it. However, many acknowledged that sustained artistic practice gradually regulated anxiety and tension. This occurred through repetitive, attentive engagement with materials rather than through externally prescribed therapeutic goals. Such rhythmic making aligns with research suggesting that embodied creative processes can shift the nervous system toward calmer states (Griffin, 2021). Observationally, artists frequently moved from visible frustration to steadiness once they settled into a consistent cadence of drawing or painting.

These accounts challenge the conventional hierarchy in which therapy is primary and art is secondary. For participants, creativity itself functioned as a form of care. As one blind artist stated, *“If I don’t paint, I feel lost. The canvas is my breathing space.”* In this sense, art operates not as treatment but as a sustaining life practice. This insight resonates with disability scholarship suggesting that creative practices in disability contexts possess transformative potential (Graeme-Cook et al.). The present study extends this claim by demonstrating how such transformation occurs through embodied engagement.

The interviews also highlighted the importance of agency and resistance. Many artists connected their work to acts of self-definition and activism. As one participant declared, *“My art shows I’m not defined by my disability. I define it.”* Within the framework of disability and crip theory (McRuer, 2006; Svendsen et al., 2026), such expressions represent political acts that challenge dominant narratives of disability. Ultimately, disability-led creativity should be understood not merely as therapeutic practice but as an autonomous form of embodied knowledge, care, and cultural expression that expands conventional understandings of art, emotion, and normalcy.

5. IMPLICATIONS

This study suggests several key implications for research, practice, and cultural institutions. First, creative practice should be recognized not only for therapeutic outcomes such as stress relief but also as a legitimate form of embodied knowledge. Mental health practitioners could

incorporate open-ended, process-oriented art-making rather than strictly goal-driven interventions.

Second, art education and scholarship should expand disability aesthetics by including disabled artists and their adaptive methods, recognizing them as innovators rather than exceptions. Third, the findings highlight the need for interdisciplinary collaboration between art therapists, disability studies scholars, neuroscientists, and artists to better understand how creative activity supports emotional regulation and embodied experience.

Institutionally, galleries, museums, and funding bodies should create accessible platforms that showcase disability art as culturally significant rather than merely socially inclusive. Finally, art education and therapeutic programs should integrate adaptive techniques and universal design, often co-developed with disabled artists themselves.

Overall, the study supports a broader cultural shift toward recognizing embodied creativity as both artistic practice and a form of care.

6. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that disability-led visual art offers important insights into creativity and emotional well-being. Rather than serving merely as emotional outlets, the practices of disabled artists function as embodied systems of care, identity, and resistance. Through engagement with materials, movement, and rhythm, the creative process becomes a space where body and mind interact to shape emotional experience. In this sense, artists do not simply use art therapeutically; they inhabit it as a way of living and understanding the world.

The findings show that material engagement, adaptive innovation, and rhythmic making help artists not only express emotions but also structure and transform them. By adapting techniques to their bodies, participants developed distinctive aesthetic forms that challenge normative ideas of beauty and artistic skill. Creative practice thus becomes an act of self-definition and knowledge production.

Overall, disability-led creativity expands discussions of art and mental health by foregrounding autonomy, embodiment, and agency, highlighting how artistic practice can function as a powerful form of embodied care.

References

1. Garland-Thomson, R. (2017). *Extraordinary bodies: Figuring physical disability in American culture and literature*. Columbia University Press.
2. Griffith, R. (2021). *Embodied creativity and the arts*. Oxford University Press.
3. Leavy, P. (2015). *Method meets art: Arts-based research practice*. Guilford Press.
4. Malchiodi, C. (2012). *Handbook of art therapy*. Guilford Press.
5. McRuer, R. (2006). *Crip theory: Cultural signs of queerness and disability*. NYU Press.
6. Rice, B., Weitkamp, G., & Brown, C. (2024). Creative disuse: how disabled artists reshape technology. *Art Journal*, 83(1), 45–62.
7. Siebers, T. (2010). *Disability aesthetics*. University of Michigan Press.
8. Stuckey, H. L., & Nobel, J. (2010). The connection between art, healing, and public health:
A review of current literature. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(2), 254–263.
9. Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.
10. Yi, I. (2022). *Chronic pleasures: A memoir of art, activism, and autism*. Haymarket Books.