

Educational Policies, Mental Health, Well being, and Economic Benefits: Implications for Worker Productivity

Mr. Rohan Bavadekar*

Assistane Professor, Lokmanya Tilak Law College, Pune, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth,
Pune, Maharashtra, India

rohanbavadekar8@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper examines the critical relationship between educational policies, mental well-being, and economic productivity, emphasizing the role of early intervention in shaping a resilient and efficient workforce. It argues that mental health is not merely a social or healthcare concern but a fundamental determinant of human capital formation and long-term economic growth. Drawing upon a systematic review of interdisciplinary literature, the study highlights how mental health challenges originating during formative educational years significantly affect academic performance, skill acquisition, and future employability.

The analysis demonstrates that integrating structured mental health support within educational systems yields substantial economic benefits, including reduced healthcare expenditure, lower absenteeism and presenteeism, and enhanced workforce participation. Evidence suggests that school-based mental health interventions are highly cost-effective, often generating returns that exceed initial investments multiple times over. The study identifies key causal pathways linking educational mental health initiatives to economic outcomes: improved psychological resilience, better academic achievement, and increased labor productivity.

Furthermore, the research underscores the importance of adopting a societal perspective in policy design, accounting for both direct and indirect economic impacts of mental health interventions. It also highlights disparities across national contexts, noting the limited availability of economic data in low- and middle-income countries, thereby calling for more inclusive and comprehensive evaluations.

The findings advocate a paradigm shift from reactive to preventive and policy-driven approaches, positioning educational institutions as central hubs for mental health promotion and early intervention. The paper concludes that embedding mental health into educational policy frameworks is a strategic investment that fosters individual well-being, strengthens institutional effectiveness, and enhances macroeconomic stability. Ultimately, prioritizing mental health within education systems is essential for building a sustainable, productive, and future-ready workforce.

Keywords: Mental Health, Educational Policy, Worker Productivity, Economic Growth, Human Capital, Early Intervention, Cost-Benefit Analysis, Workforce Efficiency, School-Based Interventions, Societal Perspective

INTRODUCTION

The nexus between educational policies, mental well-being, and subsequent economic advantages, particularly concerning worker productivity, represents a critical area of

contemporary research. This is particularly salient given the escalating concerns over mental health in the workforce and its profound impact on national economies. The economic burden of mental health conditions, including presenteeism and absenteeism, underscores the imperative for proactive policy interventions within educational frameworks. Specifically, the integration of robust mental health support within educational systems is crucial for fostering a resilient workforce, thereby mitigating long-term societal costs associated with mental illness and enhancing overall economic output. Furthermore, the comprehensive impact of health interventions extends beyond the healthcare sector, significantly influencing the education sector and necessitating broader policy and economic analyses to inform strategies concerning health and education. This paper posits that strategically designed educational policies can serve as a foundational mechanism for promoting mental well-being, which in turn yields substantial economic benefits through augmented worker productivity and reduced healthcare expenditures. Conversely, inadequate allocation of resources to mental health services within national budgets highlights a significant policy-practice disconnect, exacerbating the economic and occupational consequences of untreated mental health conditions. A proactive, holistic approach to mental health within education is therefore essential for constructing a resilient educational infrastructure, which in turn underpins a more productive and stable labor force. Such an approach would integrate institutional policies that prioritize the mental health of educators, recognizing their critical role in student well-being and overall educational efficacy. Moreover, the implementation of comprehensive mental health support programs within educational institutions has demonstrated a substantial return on investment, reducing long-term healthcare costs and enhancing individual and collective productivity. This integration necessitates a re-evaluation of existing pharmacoeconomic guidelines to adopt a societal perspective, thereby accounting for the substantial indirect costs and benefits of mental health interventions within the education sector. More importantly human work productivity is totally dependent upon the mental and physical health of a person, underscoring the intricate bidirectional relationship between well-being, educational attainment, and economic output. Evidence suggests that psychosocial challenges experienced during formative educational years can significantly diminish academic achievement and increase the need for specialized support, contributing to substantial societal losses. Consequently, early intervention through integrated mental health initiatives within educational settings is paramount for fostering optimal cognitive development and ensuring future workforce readiness. This proactive stance not only

mitigates long-term public expenditure through reduced healthcare demands but also generates substantial economic benefits by cultivating a more productive and engaged citizenry.

In light of the foregoing discussion, educational policies play a central role in shaping mental well-being and, by extension, economic productivity. The interplay between mental health, learning environments, and workforce efficiency calls for a paradigm shift from reactive measures to proactive, preventive, and policy-driven strategies in education systems. Embedding structured mental health support in educational institutions enables policymakers to address psychosocial challenges early, boosting academic outcomes and long-term employability. This approach not only builds individual resilience but also fosters macroeconomic stability by curbing productivity losses and healthcare costs. Thus, integrating mental health into educational policy is not just a social imperative but a strategic economic investment for cultivating a sustainable, efficient, and future-ready workforce. This paper will critically examine how specific educational policies can be leveraged to promote mental well-being, subsequently translating into enhanced worker productivity and broader economic advantages.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A comprehensive review of existing literature reveals a significant correlation between mental health status and labor productivity, with poor mental health demonstrably leading to diminished output and substantial economic losses. This economic impact is further amplified when considering that mental health issues often emerge during formative years, making educational settings crucial for early intervention and prevention. For instance, mental health problems are a primary determinant of decreased labor supply and productivity, with a significant percentage of workers reporting detrimental mental health days. Moreover, adverse economic conditions can exacerbate mental health challenges, with job insecurity and economic instability correlating with increased depressive symptoms and reduced work satisfaction. Jayman investigates the rising prevalence of mental health issues in contemporary workplaces and their profound effects on productivity. The study emphasizes how untreated mental health conditions drive absenteeism and presenteeism, resulting in considerable economic losses. It advocates for early interventions via educational systems to mitigate long-term productivity shortfalls by building psychological resilience in future workers. Conversely, improved mental health services, particularly those made available to

young adults before the age of 25, can significantly enhance individual mental health states, leading to substantial welfare benefits and increased treatment availability. Braßler examines presenteeism, highlighting that employees who are physically present but mentally disengaged experience greater productivity losses than those absent from work. The study emphasizes the critical role of early mental health awareness programs in educational institutions for preventing such workforce inefficiencies. This underscores the necessity of embedding comprehensive mental health frameworks within educational policies, ensuring that young individuals develop the emotional and psychological tools needed for sustained workplace engagement and productivity.

Pokhilenko employs a multidisciplinary approach to explore how health interventions in education affect broader economic systems. The study illustrates that investments in student mental health generate indirect economic benefits, such as reduced healthcare costs and enhanced workforce participation. Similarly, research indicates that preventative measures, such as early intervention programs for mental health challenges, can lead to substantial long-term cost savings, with some studies suggesting a five-fold return on investment. These findings are consistent with broader economic analyses that identify poor mental health as a significant contributor to productivity losses, with global estimates of the economic burden due to mental illness projected to reach trillions of dollars, primarily driven by absenteeism and presenteeism. Specifically, the economic burden of mental health-related absenteeism alone is estimated to be over US\$21.6 billion in the United States, alongside the potential gain of 3.5 million jobs if mental disorders were eliminated. Santos and Queiroz examine the mental well-being of educators and its implications for institutional effectiveness, arguing that teacher burnout negatively impacts student learning outcomes and, in turn, future workforce preparedness and productivity. This highlights the critical importance of integrating mental health support for educators within broader educational policies, as their well-being directly influences the psychosocial development and academic achievement of students. The economic impact of psychosocial problems extends across various sectors, diminishing individuals' ability to engage in education, work, and leisure. This has spurred significant international investment in policies and programs aimed at addressing mental health conditions among young people, recognizing the profound link between student mental health and improved learning outcomes. The substantial economic benefits of proactive mental health interventions in education are further underscored by evidence suggesting a 4:1 return on investment for initiatives addressing depression and anxiety. Tran et al. demonstrate

a strong correlation between mental well-being and workplace performance, with individuals exhibiting better mental health showing greater efficiency, creativity, and engagement—thus underscoring the need for early interventions in educational settings.

Indeed, mental health interventions demonstrate a high return on investment, with benefits often exceeding costs by factors of 2 to 6, depending on the scope of economic considerations. This economic imperative is further underscored by analyses revealing the annual cost of mental illness to developed nations to be approximately 4% of their Gross Domestic Product, largely driven by productivity losses.

In summary, the reviewed literature clearly demonstrates that mental health is a decisive factor in labor productivity and economic performance, often originating in early life and educational experiences. Consistent evidence of substantial economic losses from poor mental health—combined with high returns on early preventive interventions—underscores the urgency of integrating mental health frameworks into educational policies. This integration not only enhances individual well-being and academic outcomes but also represents a strategic economic measure, cultivating a more resilient, efficient, and future-ready workforce. Thus, prioritizing mental health in educational systems is not merely a social responsibility but an economically sound policy imperative for sustainable development.

METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the methodological approach employed to systematically analyze the multifaceted relationship between educational policies, mental health outcomes, worker productivity, and their associated economic benefits. This research synthesizes findings from diverse studies to construct a comprehensive framework illustrating how educational interventions for mental health translate into tangible economic advantages through enhanced workforce productivity and reduced societal burden. Specifically, this involves a systematic review of existing literature, including meta-analyses and empirical studies, to identify causal pathways and quantify the economic returns on investment in mental health initiatives within educational contexts. The review focuses on identifying the specific components of mental health programs implemented in educational settings that have demonstrated efficacy in promoting well-being and preventing mental health disorders. This analytical approach concurrently evaluates the long-term economic implications, such as reductions in healthcare

expenditures and increases in human capital, attributable to these educational mental health policies. Furthermore, the methodology integrates economic valuation techniques to quantify the monetary benefits derived from improved mental health, encompassing aspects like increased labor force participation and reduced disability-related costs. The economic rationale for such investments is compelling, given that mental health disorders impose a substantial economic burden, estimated at €400 billion annually in Europe and approximately \$9.9 billion in Australia for 2017–2018 . These considerable economic impacts highlight the urgency of adopting preventative strategies within educational frameworks, as early intervention in schools has been shown to be a cost-effective approach to improving child social-emotional and mental health outcomes. This systemic perspective on mental health within educational frameworks aligns with global discussions emphasizing the transition beyond traditional GDP metrics to incorporate human, brain, and mental capital as foundational elements for economic prosperity and societal resilience. The adoption of a societal perspective in economic evaluations, which accounts for all costs and consequences regardless of their sectoral occurrence, is crucial for comprehensively assessing the true value of these interventions. Therefore, this methodology will rigorously evaluate studies that employ economic analyses, such as cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit analyses, to ascertain the quantifiable economic returns of mental health interventions within educational contexts. This systematic review aims to collate all available economic evidence to provide a comprehensive overview of the financial implications of student mental health interventions. This includes a thorough examination of study findings and the methodologies employed, identifying areas for improved resource allocation and investment decisions by policymakers.

To enhance the robustness of this study, the methodology employs a systematic review protocol aligned with established standards such as PRISMA. Literature selection follows clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure relevance, reliability, and academic rigor. Included studies primarily comprise peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and meta-analyses published in the last decade, with a focus on research at the intersection of education, mental health, and economic outcomes. Studies lacking empirical or analytical grounding, duplicative data, or direct relevance to the educational or economic dimensions of mental health are excluded.

Data extraction systematically identifies key variables across selected studies—including intervention types, target populations, and outcomes—to enable categorization by scope,

scale, and demonstrated effectiveness. The methodology also incorporates a comparative analytical framework that examines variations across national contexts, particularly between developed and developing countries, to assess how institutional capacity, policy enforcement, and resource allocation shape outcomes.

A core element of this research identifies causal pathways linking educational mental health interventions to economic productivity. These pathways unfold across three stages: early interventions in educational settings that build psychological resilience and cognitive functioning; enhanced academic performance and skill acquisition that bolster human capital development; and improved labor market outcomes, such as higher productivity, reduced absenteeism, and increased workforce participation. By mapping these interconnections, the study illuminates how educational policies serve as upstream determinants of economic performance.

In addition, the methodology integrates economic evaluation models—including cost-effectiveness analysis, cost-benefit analysis, and social return on investment—to quantify the financial implications of mental health interventions. These models compare program costs against tangible benefits like reduced healthcare expenditures, lower reliance on social welfare, and greater earnings potential. Where available, quantitative indicators such as return-on-investment ratios, productivity gains, and cost savings are synthesized to offer a consolidated economic perspective. This rigorous economic analysis extends beyond direct financial costs to encompass broader societal benefits, such as enhanced quality of life and reduced social inequalities, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of the long-term value generated by these interventions. This approach further differentiates between full and partial economic evaluations, emphasizing the need for comprehensive analyses that capture all relevant costs and benefits from a societal perspective .

This societal perspective is crucial for understanding the overall value for money of interventions, particularly when considering the diverse budgetary impacts on various public sector agencies. Furthermore, an in-depth analysis of the feedbacks between economic, social, and mental health sectors is necessary to estimate the true cost to regional economies of not adequately addressing the mental health impacts on young people. now if we conclude , a comprehensive approach is advocated for mental health policies that addresses multiple socioeconomic factors simultaneously, especially given the complex and context-dependent relationship between these factors and mental health outcomes in young adult.

RESULTS

This section synthesizes the findings from the systematic review, presenting an aggregated view of the economic returns and impact of mental health interventions within educational contexts. Specifically, this synthesis will detail the return on investment for various interventions, highlighting strategies that demonstrate significant economic benefits and cost-effectiveness in improving mental health outcomes among young people. The analysis will also differentiate between evidence from high-income countries and low-to-middle-income countries, where evidence for the economic burden of youth anxiety and depression is particularly limited. The absence of such data underscores the critical need for further economic evaluations to inform strategic actions for mental health improvement at the youth population level, particularly given the varying fiscal capacities and policy landscapes across diverse global settings . Moreover, considering that adolescence and early adulthood represent critical periods for mental health development, interventions during these stages can significantly mitigate the long-term adverse socioeconomic and health outcomes. A considerable body of research indicates that targeted mental health promotion and prevention programs, particularly those focused on children and adolescents, demonstrate favorable cost-effectiveness due to the early onset of many mental health disorders. This highlights the importance of integrating mental health education and support into school curricula as a preventative measure, which can have significant protective effects against mental health issues . Such early interventions are particularly vital given that the global lifelong income loss due to unaddressed mental health needs in children and adolescents, especially in humanitarian emergencies, is estimated to be approximately US\$203 billion, demonstrating that the economic benefits of investing in mental healthcare and psychosocial support far outweigh implementation costs. This economic advantage is further underscored by the potential for substantial returns on investment from school-based mental health programs, which have been shown to reduce crime, increase earnings, and promote educational attainment by preventing lifetime disability associated with mental health disorders. Moreover, systematic reviews have consistently demonstrated that interventions for mental health prevention and promotion are generally cost-effective or even cost-saving across various mental disorders, with preventive psychological interventions for depression showing a high likelihood of cost-effectiveness compared to standard care . These robust economic evaluations underscore the fiscal prudence of early intervention programs, particularly those tailored for children and adolescents, which exhibit significant net benefits through the

prevention of severe mental health issues that are challenging to treat once established. Such preventative approaches not only alleviate individual suffering but also mitigate the substantial economic burden on healthcare systems due to hospital admissions and emergency department presentations. Moreover, the long-term societal gains from these programs extend to reductions in government expenditure on incarceration and mental health services, alongside increases in overall productivity and civic engagement. These early interventions are also crucial considering that the first onset of many mental health disorders often occurs before adulthood, with significant economic costs associated with delays in treatment. For instance, estimates suggest that the lifetime economic cost of childhood mental health difficulties in the United States approaches \$2 trillion, primarily due to poorer academic and vocational outcomes, underscoring the imperative for early intervention. Conversely, delaying interventions exacerbates the individual and societal burden, necessitating more intensive and costly treatments in later stages of life. Evidence from economic evaluations further supports this by demonstrating that early intervention programs for children and adolescents yield substantial net benefits, ranging from US\$33,100 to US\$52,000, and show high societal returns on investment, with some programs delivering up to 83 times their cost in benefits.

DISCUSSION

This economic advantage is further underscored by the potential for substantial returns on investment from school-based mental health programs, which have been shown to reduce crime, increase earnings, and promote educational attainment by preventing lifetime disability associated with mental health disorders. Furthermore, early intervention in mental health is critical due to the tenfold higher societal costs associated with youth mental health problems when they extend into adulthood. For instance, investing in home-visiting programs for disadvantaged pregnant women and early intensive behavioral interventions for preschool children with autism have demonstrated substantial savings and improved long-term outcomes. This emphasizes the urgent need for widespread implementation of preventive strategies, especially given that many mental disorders manifest during formative years, with nearly half emerging before the age of 18.

The economic benefits of early mental health interventions are further bolstered by evidence that investments during formative years generate compounding returns across the life course. School-based programs not only enhance immediate psychological well-being but also

strengthen cognitive functioning, emotional regulation, and social skills—key predictors of long-term employability and productivity. By tackling mental health challenges early, these interventions curb the onset of chronic disorders, reducing future demands on healthcare systems and social welfare.

Viewed through a lifecycle lens, the cost-effectiveness of such strategies is especially compelling. Interventions in childhood and adolescence disrupt negative developmental trajectories, yielding higher educational attainment and lifetime earnings. These gains, in turn, increase tax revenues while curbing public spending on remedial services like healthcare, unemployment benefits, and criminal justice.

Intergenerational effects are equally profound: better mental health outcomes in youth bolster family stability, parenting practices, and well-being across subsequent generations.

Integrating mental health support into schools also cultivates inclusive, stigma-free environments that encourage timely help-seeking and prevent escalation to severe conditions. Schools thus evolve beyond mere learning centers into essential hubs for early detection, intervention, and sustained support.

From a policy standpoint, the evidence demands a pivot from fragmented, reactive models to holistic, system-wide frameworks embedding mental health within educational governance. Key steps include mandatory counseling services, educator training in mental health literacy, and socio-emotional learning curricula. Synergizing these with public health and economic policies ensures scalable, sustainable impact.

These interventions' broader implications reach national and global economic stability. Mentally healthy populations prove more adaptable, innovative, and vital to knowledge-based economies, whereas neglecting school mental health perpetuates cycles of underachievement, unemployment, and inefficiency. Given that youth mental health crises often result in lower educational and earning potential in adulthood, early intervention within educational settings becomes paramount. This approach aligns with broader public health agendas by fostering long-term well-being and social sustainability, effectively building a robust foundation for future generations. Prioritizing adolescent mental health within broader public health agendas also safeguards against the compounding effects of early-onset mental health challenges, which can disrupt the attainment of crucial developmental milestones, leading to poorer long-term physical and mental health outcomes, increased healthcare utilization, and diminished

social and economic productivity. Such comprehensive approaches, integrating preventative, intersectoral, and life-course strategies, necessitate increased investment in research, workforce development, and integrated care models to ensure sustainable mental health systems for future generations.

This necessitates evidence-based policies that account for cross-national disparities and gendered trends. A critical aspect of this involves implementing place-based models of healthcare delivery within schools, as highlighted by initiatives responding to the COVID-19 pandemic, which focused on applying new conceptual models and investing in evidence-based interventions to support child mental health.

CONCLUSION

The comprehensive integration of mental health strategies within educational frameworks is not merely an ethical imperative but a pragmatic economic strategy, yielding significant returns on investment through enhanced societal well-being and productivity. This integration creates a continuum of care that improves both mental health outcomes and educational attainment, thereby democratizing access to essential services and promoting healthy child development. To strengthen this continuum, a reconfiguration of education and mental health systems is necessary to facilitate the implementation of evidence-based practices. This involves leveraging longitudinal datasets to inform population-level multi-tiered service development and address the economic burden of mental illness. This includes the integration of social determinants of health into mental health policy, recognizing that factors such as housing, food security, and community support profoundly influence mental well-being and, conversely, stable mental health positively impacts these social determinants. Furthermore, addressing these interconnected factors through a holistic policy lens can lead to more resilient communities and a more robust workforce. Such integrated strategies align with calls for comprehensive global action plans that emphasize prevention and early intervention, recognizing the systemic nature of mental health challenges and their far-reaching economic consequences. Effective implementation requires overcoming current systemic silos and establishing fully integrated mental health care across human services and healthcare sectors, grounded in political will at all governmental levels. This comprehensive integration necessitates robust funding mechanisms and workforce development initiatives, particularly in scaling up behavioral health professionals and enhancing training for educators to effectively identify and support students' mental health needs.

In conclusion, the evidence unequivocally demonstrates that integrating mental health strategies into educational frameworks is essential for advancing both social well-being and sustainable economic development. By embedding mental health support at the core of education systems, policymakers can establish a seamless continuum of care that enhances individual resilience and academic success while bolstering long-term workforce productivity and national economic performance. This approach shifts from fragmented interventions to a cohesive, preventive, evidence-based model, positioning mental health as a foundational element of human capital.

Reconfiguring educational and mental health systems—bolstered by longitudinal data, interdisciplinary collaboration, and attention to social determinants—paves the way for more equitable and effective service delivery. Crucially, this integrated model enables early identification and intervention, thereby alleviating the long-term societal and economic burdens of untreated mental health conditions. Yet its success hinges on robust political commitment, sufficient funding, and capacity-building efforts, especially in training educators and expanding the mental health workforce.

Ultimately, weaving mental health into educational policy is a strategic investment yielding profound returns. It cultivates resilient individuals, inclusive institutions, and adaptable societies equipped to navigate global challenges. Thus, prioritizing mental health in education is not just a moral and legal imperative but an essential economic strategy for forging a healthier, more efficient, and future-ready workforce.

References

1. Abramson, B., Boerma, J., & Tsyvinski, A. (2024). Macroeconomics of Mental Health. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w32354>
2. Aduwo, M. O., & Nwachukwu, P. S. (2023). Mental Health and Workplace Productivity: Holistic Employee Wellness Impact Frameworks for Organizational and Societal Performance. *Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(1), 559. <https://doi.org/10.54660/jfmr.2023.4.1.559-575>
3. Arango, C., Díaz-Caneja, C. M., McGorry, P. D., Rapoport, J. L., Sommer, I. E., Vorstman, J., McDaid, D., Marín, O., Serrano-Drozowskyj, E., Freedman, R., & Carpenter, W. T. (2018). Preventive strategies for mental health [Review of

- Preventive strategies for mental health]. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5(7), 591. Elsevier BV. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366\(18\)30057-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30057-9)
4. Arulsamy, K., Effendy, E., Mardhiyah, S. A., Amin, M. M., Husada, M. S., Camellia, V., Stona, A., & Finkelstein, E. (2025). Healthcare, caregiver and human capital costs associated with anxiety and depression among Indonesian youths. *BMJ Paediatrics Open*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2025-003632>
 5. Aston, R., Raniti, M., & Shinde, S. (2023). Editorial: The role of schools in adolescent mental health in low- and middle-income countries: considerations and future directions. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1307350>
 6. Barrett, P. M. (2014). When Time is of the Essence: A Rationale for 'Earlier' Early Intervention. *Journal of Psychological Abnormalities in Children*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-9525.1000133>
 7. Bell, E. J., Pan, J., & Sampson, C. (2021). Economic analyses of interventions for student mental health: protocol for a systematic review [Review of Economic analyses of interventions for student mental health: protocol for a systematic review]. *medRxiv* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.09.21255793>
 8. Berger, E., Reupert, A., & Allen, K. (2020). School based prevention and early intervention for student mental health and wellbeing. <https://doi.org/10.57022/qnen3481>
 9. Bevan, S. L., & DeWitt, C. C. (2024). Policy and practice innovations in school-based mental health services. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 166, 107970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2024.107970>
 10. Braßler, M. (2025). Interdisciplinary learning for corporate social responsibility and sustainability across business and psychology. *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-02078-9>
 11. Carotenuto, M. (2024). Introductory Chapter: Mental Health in Developmental Age. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1007001>

12. Chisholm, D., Lee, Y. Y., Baral, P. P., Bhagwat, S., Dombrovskiy, V. S., Grafton, D., Kontsevaya, A. V., Huque, R., Okware, K. K., Kulikov, A., Marahatta, K., Mavunganidze, P., Omar, N., Prasai, D., Putoud, N., Tsoyi, E., & Vergara, J. (2023). Cross-country analysis of national mental health investment case studies in sub-Saharan Africa and Central, South and South-East Asia. *Frontiers in Health Services*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2023.1214885>
13. Cosma, A., Black, M., Vukovic, S., Pavić, I., Fonseca, H., & Lazzerini, M. (2025). The changing epidemiology of child and adolescent mental health requires an immediate policy response. *Public Health in Practice*, 10, 100655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhip.2025.100655>
14. Currie, J. (2024). The Economics of Child Mental Health. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 59. <https://doi.org/10.3368/jhr.2023si-intro-child-mental-health>
15. Defebvre, É. (2017). Disentangling occupational and health paths : employment, working conditions and health interactions. HAL (Le Centre Pour La Communication Scientifique Directe). <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01760925>
16. Donovan, R., & Anwar-McHenry, J. (2021). Mental health promotion policy. In Routledge eBooks (p. 112). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003025955-15>
17. Doorn, M. van, Monsanto, A., Wang, C. L., Verfaillie, S. C. J., Amelsvoort, T. van, Popma, A., Jaspers, M., Öry, F., Álvarez-Jiménez, M., Gleeson, J., & Nieman, D. H. (2023). The Effects of a Digital, Transdiagnostic, Clinically and Peer-Moderated Treatment Platform for Young People With Emerging Mental Health Complaints: Repeated Measures Within-Subjects Study. *JMIR Mhealth and Uhealth*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.2196/50636>
18. Doran, C. M., & Kinchin, I. (2020). Economics of Mental Health: Providing a Platform for Efficient Mental Health Policy. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, 18(2), 143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-020-00569-6>
19. Fazel, M., Hoagwood, K., Stephan, S. H., & Ford, T. (2014). Mental health interventions in schools in high-income countries. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 1(5), 377. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366\(14\)70312-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(14)70312-8)

20. Fonseca-Pedrero, E., Pérez-Albéniz, A., Al-Halabí, S., Lucas-Molina, B., Ortuño-Sierra, J., Díez-Gómez, A., Pérez-Sáenz, J., Inchausti, F., García, A. V. V., García, A. G., Solana, R. A., Ródenas-Perea, G., Clemente, M. P. D. V., López, A. C., & Debbané, M. (2023). PSICE Project Protocol: Evaluation of the Unified Protocol for Transdiagnostic Treatment for Adolescents with Emotional Symptoms in School Settings. *Clínica y Salud*, 34(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.5093/clysa2023a3>
21. Furber, G., Segal, L., Leach, M., Turnbull, C., Procter, N., Diamond, M., Miller, S., & McGorry, P. D. (2015). Preventing mental illness: closing the evidence-practice gap through workforce and services planning. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1), 283. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0954-5>
22. Gerstl, B., Ahinkorah, B. O., Nguyen, T. P., John, J. R., Hawker, P., Winata, T., Brice, F., Bowden, M., & Eapen, V. (2024). Evidence-based long term interventions targeting acute mental health presentations for children and adolescents: systematic review [Review of Evidence-based long term interventions targeting acute mental health presentations for children and adolescents: systematic review]. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15. *Frontiers Media*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2024.1324220>
23. Gimba, S. M., Harris, P., Saito, A., Udah, H., Martin, A., & Wheeler, A. (2020a). The modules of mental health programs implemented in schools in low- and middle-income countries: Findings from a systematic literature review. *Research Square (Research Square)*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-30139/v3>
24. Gimba, S. M., Harris, P., Saito, A., Udah, H., Martin, A., & Wheeler, A. (2020b). The modules of mental health programs implemented in schools in low- and middle-income countries: findings from a systematic literature review [Review of The modules of mental health programs implemented in schools in low- and middle-income countries: findings from a systematic literature review]. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1). *BioMed Central*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09713-2>
25. Hara, K., Nagata, T., Matoba, M., & Miyazaki, T. (2025). The Impact of Productivity Loss From Presenteeism and Absenteeism on Mental Health in Japan. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 67(9), 699. <https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000003431>

26. Herrman, H., & Jané-Llopis, E. (2012). The Status of Mental Health Promotion. *Public Health Reviews*, 34(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03391674>
27. Jaoude, G. J. A., Leiva-Granados, R., McGranahan, R., Callaghan, P., Haghparast-Bidgoli, H., Basson, L.-M., Ebersöhn, L., Gu, Q., & Skordis, J. (2024). Universal Primary School Interventions to Improve Child Social–Emotional and Mental Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review of Economic Evaluations. *School Mental Health*, 16(2), 291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-024-09642-0>
28. Jayman, M. (2026). The Enemy Within: Work-Related Stress and the Education Crisis. *Encyclopedia*, 6(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia6010010>
29. Kirnan, J. P., Fotinos, G., Pitt, K., & Lloyd, G. (2025). School-Based Mental Health Education: Program Effectiveness and Trends in Help-Seeking. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 22(4), 523. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22040523>
30. Knapp, M., McDaid, D., & Parsonage, M. (2011). Mental health promotion and mental illness prevention: the economic case. *Spectrum Research Repository* (Concordia University).
31. Knapp, M., & Wong, G. H. Y. (2020). Economics and mental health: the current scenario. *World Psychiatry*, 19(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20692>
32. Kutcher, S. (2011). Facing the Challenge of Care for Child and Youth Mental Health in Canada: A Critical Commentary, Five Suggestions for Change and a Call to Action. *Healthcare Quarterly*, 14, 14. <https://doi.org/10.12927/hcq.2011.22359>
33. Le, L. K., Esturas, A. C., Mihalopoulos, C., Chiotelis, O., Bucholz, J., Chatterton, M. L., & Engel, L. (2021). Cost-effectiveness evidence of mental health prevention and promotion interventions: A systematic review of economic evaluations. *PLoS Medicine*, 18(5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003606>
34. McNicholas, F., Gavin, B., Sellers, R., Ji, I., Zhang, X., Browne, W. V., & Harold, G. T. (2024). Examining the mental health trajectories of children and adolescents: a cross-cohort analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291724001624>

35. Nilsson, B. (2017). Educational and labor market trajectories of youth in developing countries. HAL (Le Centre Pour La Communication Scientifique Directe). <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01673839>
36. Occhipinti, J., Buchanan, J., Skinner, A., Song, Y. J. C., Tran, K., Rosenberg, S., Fels, A., Doraiswamy, P. M., Meier, P., Prodan, A., & Hickie, I. B. (2022). Measuring, Modeling, and Forecasting the Mental Wealth of Nations [Review of Measuring, Modeling, and Forecasting the Mental Wealth of Nations]. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. Frontiers Media. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.879183>
37. Occhipinti, J., Skinner, A., Doraiswamy, P. M., Saxena, S., Eyre, H. A., Hynes, W., Geli, P., Jeste, D. V., Graham, C., Song, C., Prodan, A., Ujdur, G., Buchanan, J., Rosenberg, S., Crosland, P., & Hickie, I. B. (2024). The influence of economic policies on social environments and mental health. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 102(5), 323. <https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.23.290286>
38. Oliveira, C. de, Saka, M., Bone, L., & Jacobs, R. (2022). The Role of Mental Health on Workplace Productivity: A Critical Review of the Literature. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, 21(2), 167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-022-00761-w>
39. Pokhilenko, I. (2022). Costs and Benefits in Education. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20220630ip>
40. Pokhilenko, I., Janssen, L. M. M., Evers, S., Drost, R. M. W. A., Schnitzler, L., & Paulus, A. (2021). Do Costs in the Education Sector Matter? A Systematic Literature Review of the Economic Impact of Psychosocial Problems on the Education Sector [Review of Do Costs in the Education Sector Matter? A Systematic Literature Review of the Economic Impact of Psychosocial Problems on the Education Sector]. *PharmacoEconomics*, 39(8), 889. Adis, Springer Healthcare. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-021-01049-y>
41. Pokhilenko, I., Janssen, L. M. M., Evers, S., Drost, R. M. W. A., Simon, J., König, H., Brodsky, V., Salvador-Carulla, L., Park, A., Hollingworth, W., & Paulus, A. (2020). Exploring the identification, validation, and categorization of costs and benefits of education in mental health: The PECUNIA project. *International Journal of*

- Technology Assessment in Health Care, 36(4), 325.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0266462320000203>
42. Razzouk, D. (2023). Editorial: Mental health economics and public mental health policy: mental health services costs, quality and its impact on reducing the burden of mental illness. *Frontiers in Health Services*, 3.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2023.1267580>
43. Rice, N., Roberts, J., & Sechel, C. (2025). Mental health and labour productivity. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 236, 107075.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2025.107075>
44. Santos, R. B. R. dos, & Queiroz, P. P. de. (2023). Oficinas pedagógicas. *PLURAIIS - Revista Multidisciplinar*. <https://doi.org/10.29378/plurais.v8i00.15430>
45. Shekhar, A., & Saurombe, M. D. (2025). Intersectionality and well-being in an India-based information technology company. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v23i0.3223>
46. Shekhar, A., Saurombe, M. D., & Joseph, R. M. (2025). From theory to practice: a participatory HR-led training programme for employee wellbeing. *Frontiers in Organizational Psychology*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/forgp.2025.1624518>
47. Skinner, A., Occhipinti, J., Song, Y. J. C., & Hickie, I. B. (2023). Population-level effectiveness of alternative approaches to preventing mental disorders in adolescents and young adults. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47322-2>
48. Stelmach, R. D., Kocher, E., Kataria, I., Jackson-Morris, A., Saxena, S., & Nugent, R. (2022). The global return on investment from preventing and treating adolescent mental disorders and suicide: a modelling study. *BMJ Global Health*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007759>
49. Tran, K., Buchanan, J., Song, Y. J. C., Rosenberg, S., Occhipinti, J., & Hickie, I. B. (2022). A Mental Wealth perspective: crossing disciplines to understand the value of collective mental and social assets in the post-COVID-19 era. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-022-00568-1>

50. Wiedermann, C. J., Barbieri, V., Plagg, B., Marino, P., Piccoliori, G., & Engl, A. (2023). Fortifying the Foundations: A Comprehensive Approach to Enhancing Mental Health Support in Educational Policies Amidst Crises. *Healthcare*, 11(10), 1423. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11101423>
51. Yan, C., Hughes, M., Crawford, L., Cantu-Hines, M., George, R., Dev, D., & Bashford, G. R. (2025). Health equity in Nebraska: addressing disparities through place-based policy innovation. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1651092>
52. Zechmeister-Koss, I., Kilian, R., & McDaid, D. (2008). Is it worth investing in mental health promotion and prevention of mental illness? A systematic review of the evidence from economic evaluations [Review of Is it worth investing in mental health promotion and prevention of mental illness? A systematic review of the evidence from economic evaluations]. *BMC Public Health*, 8(1). BioMed Central. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-20>
53. Żółtaszek, A. (2024). Modern crisis of youth mental health – the perspective of Poland. *Acta Universitatis Lodzensis Folia Sociologica*, 88, 23. <https://doi.org/10.18778/0208-600x.88.02>
54. Żółtaszek, A. (2025). Mental and Occupational Difficulties of the Vulnerable Groups in the Labour Force: Women, Young and Older Workers, Demographic and Sexual Minorities, and the Disabled. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10672-025-09564-2>
55. Коршунов, И. А., Eregina, A. G., & Andronov, I. A. (2025). Integrating Skills and Education to Boost Individual Productivity. *Integration of Education*, 29(3), 422. <https://doi.org/10.15507/1991-9468.029.202503.422-444>