Holistic Wellbeing as a Pillar of Higher Education: Interlinking Policy, Institutional Practice, and Research

Ms. Radhika Anil Hastak*

Asst. Prof., Department of Law, Tilak Mahrashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

radhikahastak81@gmail.com

Abstract: Higher education's function has expanded to include student's entire development in addition to its traditional goal of disseminating knowledge. A paradigm change toward wellbeing-centered educational frameworks has been required due to rising levels of stress, anxiety, and psychological suffering among students. This study analyses the relationships between institutional practices, research viewpoints, and policy frameworks in order to investigate holistic health as a fundamental tenet of higher education. The study highlights significant implementation and governance shortcomings by drawing on theoretical theories of wellbeing, Indian and international policy frameworks, and modern institutional practices. Additionally, it suggests an integrated framework that unifies research, practice, and policy to guarantee inclusive and long-lasting student wellness.The report contends that current initiatives remain disjointed and ineffectual in the absence of systemic integration. In order to integrate holistic health into higher education systems, the report ends with suggestions for institutional restructuring, legislative changes, and evidence-based treatments.

Keywords: Holistic wellbeing, higher education, mental health, policy integration, institutional practices, student wellbeing.

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions (HEIs) are becoming more widely acknowledged as dynamic environments that influence students emotional, psychological, and social welfare in addition to their academic capacities. Universities have historically been set up with academic attainment as their main goal, frequently giving performance measurements, tests, and employability outcomes precedence over Students more general developmental requirements. Over time, this limited focus has led to an educational culture that downplays the significance of mental and emotional well-being. However, this traditional approach has been seriously challenged by growing national and international concerns about student mental health, including rising rates of anxiety, despair, burnout, and suicide (Hunt & Eisenberg, 2010).

The post-pandemic educational landscape has further amplified these concerns, exposing vulnerabilities within higher education systems. Student's psychological welfare has been greatly damaged by extended periods of social isolation, disruption of academic habits,

growing reliance on digital learning platforms, and uncertainty about employment prospects. These difficulties are especially severe in the Indian context. The National Crime Records Bureau's (NCRB) data continuously reveals a concerning rise in student suicides, which is indicative of pervasive systemic problems in the educational setting (NCRB, 2022). These developments highlight the critical need to rethink higher education as a setting that actively supports mental health and general wellbeing rather than just reacting to emergencies.

The idea of holistic wellness has become a crucial framework in educational discourse as a result of these difficulties. The concept of holistic wellbeing recognises that a student's capacity to learn, adapt, and flourish is influenced by a variety of factors, including mental, emotional, physical, social, and academic wellbeing. Holistic wellness promotes proactive, preventive, and inclusive measures rooted within institutional structures and cultures, in contrast to traditional approaches that consider mental health as a remedial or peripheral concern. It advocates for a change from a deficit-based approach that focuses on treating sickness to a strengths-based approach that encourages kid's resilience, involvement, and thriving.

Despite growing national and international policy recognition, initiatives to support student wellness in HEIs are still dispersed and uneven. Although frameworks like the National Education Policy (NEP), 2020 and the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 recognise the significance of mental health, their implementation into successful institutional practices has been few. Inadequate counselling infrastructure, a shortage of qualified staff, and a lack of organised monitoring systems are still problems in many institutions. Additionally, there is a gap between evidence-based insights and real-world application because research findings on student mental health are frequently underutilised.

Adopting a holistic, integrated approach to student wellness is crucial in this setting, going beyond discrete interventions. This essay makes the case that institutionalising holistic wellbeing as a fundamental tenet of higher education requires the successful integration of institutional procedures, policy frameworks, and research-based interventions. In order to create inclusive, resilient, and sustainable learning environments that promote Students general growth and wellbeing in addition to their academic performance, an integrated strategy is crucial.

2. CONCEPTUALISING HOLISTIC WELLBEING IN HIGHER EDUCATION

A comprehensive and multifaceted state of health that includes psychological resilience, emotional stability, social connectivity, and physical vigour is referred to as holistic wellness. It embraces a more comprehensive concept of human flourishing, going beyond the conventional biomedical model of health, which mainly concentrates on the absence of illness. Holistic wellness in higher education refers to Students total growth as individuals who are not just academically proficient but also emotionally stable, socially involved, and physically fit. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), mental health is a state in which people recognise their potential, manage everyday stressors, work well, and make significant contributions to their communities (WHO, 2013). This definition emphasises both social engagement and individual potential, highlighting the multifaceted and functional nature of wellbeing.

Building on this knowledge, Keyes (2002) develops the idea of 'flourishing,' which denotes the pinnacle of mental well-being. Positive emotions, a sense of purpose, solid relationships, and efficient day-to-day functioning are characteristics of flourishing people. This conceptualisation is especially pertinent in higher education settings, as students frequently face issues related to transitional life, competitive contexts, and high academic pressure. According to this approach, educational institutions should aim to create environments that allow students to flourish rather than only eliminating psychological suffering. Thus, proactive developmental tactics replace reactive interventions in student support systems as a result of holistic health.

The relevance of holistic wellbeing in higher education is further supported by its theoretical foundations. Seligman's PERMA framework, which highlights five essential components of wellbeing positive emotions, engagement, relationships, meaning, and accomplishment—is one of the most important models (Seligman, 2011). While participation indicates a strong commitment to extracurricular and academic activities, positive emotions support psychological resilience and optimism. Meaning is associated with a feeling of direction and purpose, which is frequently obtained from one's own ideals or contributions to society. Relationships emphasise the value of social support networks, such as family, friends, and teachers, while success is the pursuit and achievement of objectives. When combined, these components offer a thorough framework for comprehending and advancing student welfare in educational settings.

Similar to this, Sen (1999) and Nussbaum (2011) established the Capability Approach, which provides a normative framework emphasising the role of institutions in enabling individuals to accomplish valuable functioning's. This strategy moves the emphasis from the distribution of resources to the opportunities and freedoms that people really have. This suggests that, in the context of higher education, institutions have an obligation to establish settings that foster Students potential, including their mental and emotional health. Essential elements of this capability-enhancing framework include chances for personal development, inclusive campus environments, and access to counselling services.

By placing personal wellbeing within a web of interrelated environmental systems, Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (1979) adds another level of study. This theory holds that various levels of interaction, from more general societal and cultural surroundings (macrosystem) to more immediate settings like family and educational institutions (microsystem), have an impact on an individual's development. As an essential part of the microsystem, higher education institutions directly and significantly influence Students experiences and well-being. Peer relationships, academic systems, campus culture, and institutional policies all have an impact on how students view and handle difficulties. This viewpoint emphasises the need for systemic interventions that address the institutional and environmental elements influencing wellness in addition to human needs.

Thus, holistic wellness in higher education signifies a fundamental change from a deficit-based approach that prioritises the diagnosis and treatment of mental health issues to a strengths-based model that places an emphasis on positive development, growth, and resilience. In order to overcome the shortcomings of conventional methods, which frequently stigmatise mental health conditions and ignore the more comprehensive causes of wellness, this change is crucial. Institutions may foster an inclusive, compassionate, and supportive culture that helps all students, regardless of their mental health state, by using a comprehensive approach.

Furthermore, the importance of holistic health encompasses institutional and societal effects in addition to individual advantages. Academic achievement, retention rates, and overall institutional effectiveness are all strongly correlated with student wellbeing, according to empirical studies. Higher levels of wellbeing increase the likelihood that students will actively participate in their education, show improved cognitive functioning, and persevere in their academic endeavours. Furthermore, holistic wellbeing fosters the growth of emotionally

aware and socially conscious people who are better able to handle the challenges of contemporary society.

In short, an interdisciplinary and systemic approach that incorporates psychological theories, educational practices, and institutional duties is necessary for conceptualising holistic health within higher education. It is a primary goal that supports the total success of both students and institutions, not only a supporting element of education. Higher education institutions can work toward fostering conditions that support people's holistic development in addition to their intellectual advancement by adopting this complete understanding.

3. POLICY LANDSCAPE: GLOBAL AND INDIAN PERSPECTIVES

Due to a greater understanding of their vital significance in human capital building and sustainable development, mental health and wellbeing have taken on a major role in educational policy frameworks on a global scale. This discourse has been significantly shaped by international organisations. The integration of mental health services into community-based settings is encouraged by the World Health Organization's Mental Health Action Plan (2013–2020), which specifically names educational institutions as important locations for early intervention, prevention, and support (WHO, 2013). This policy focus represents a change from clinical, institutionalised modes of care to accessible, decentralised systems that integrate mental health into daily life. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations, in particular Goal 3 (Good Health and Wellbeing) and Goal 4 (Quality Education), support this strategy by highlighting the fundamental connection between health and education and acknowledging that effective learning cannot take place without psychological wellbeing (United Nations, 2015).

Through specific legislation and institutional structures, a number of nations have operationalised these international obligations at the national level. For example, the University Mental Health Charter in the UK encourages a "whole-university approach" to wellbeing. This model promotes the integration of mental health considerations into all facets of university operations, such as student services, campus culture, curriculum design, and governance. In a similar vein, Australia has created extensive student support networks that integrate peer support initiatives, easily available counselling services, digital mental health platforms, and early detection of mental health problems. These programs emphasise early

intervention and ongoing support over crisis-driven interventions, reflecting a proactive and preventive approach.

Though in a more disjointed way, governmental initiatives in India have also recognised the significance of mental health. By establishing a rights-based framework that acknowledges access to mental healthcare as a legal entitlement, the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 marks an important turning point. By emphasising values like respect, nondiscrimination, and informed consent, the Act brings Indian law into compliance with international human rights norms. Its use in educational institutions is still restricted and indirect, nevertheless. By advocating for the creation of counselling services, peer support systems, and inclusive learning environments at higher education institutions, the National Education Policy (NEP), 2020 further emphasises the significance of student welfare. Furthermore, the University Grants Commission (UGC) has released instructions to develop counselling centers, raise awareness of mental health issues,and addressing student stress.

Significant operational and structural constraints still exist in spite of these policy developments. The lack of a thorough and legally enforced framework that explicitly addresses mental health in higher education institutions is one of the main issues. Current regulations frequently have an advising character, are not enforceable, and lack explicit accountability procedures. As a result, implementation differs greatly amongst institutions, and many HEIs are unable to set up sufficient mental health services or infrastructure.

Additionally, there is a noticeable dearth of evaluation tools and established metrics to gauge how well institutions are doing at fostering student welfare. It is challenging to track advancement, guarantee conformity, or pinpoint best practices in the absence of quantifiable benchmarks. The poor coordination between regulatory agencies, educational institutions, and policy-making bodies is another significant issue. Policies are not successfully converted into institutional practices as a result of this divergence, leading to fragmented and uneven approaches.

The successful execution of wellbeing programs is further hampered by resource limitations, such as a lack of financing and a paucity of qualified mental health specialists. These difficulties underscore the necessity of a more comprehensive and systematic strategy that synchronises institutional capabilities and evidence from research with policy objectives.

Existing frameworks run the risk of being symbolic rather than transformative in the absence of such alignment, which would restrict their influence on the welfare of college students.

4. INSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

The significance of addressing student wellness through a variety of institutional strategies targeted at promoting mental, emotional, and social development has been acknowledged by HEIs more and more. The creation of counselling centers, which offer qualified psychological assistance to students dealing with stress, anxiety, or other mental health issues, is one of the most popular efforts. Additionally, mentorship programs which frequently involve senior students or faculty advisors act as crucial channels for direction, academic assistance, and personal growth. By establishing safe venues where students can exchange experiences and access unofficial support networks, peer support programs further reinforce this framework. To lessen stigma and encourage help-seeking behaviour, mental health awareness programs, workshops, and seminars are regularly held.

Extracurricular and co-curricular activities complement one another in promoting overall wellbeing. The National Service Scheme (NSS) and other student organisations and societies play a major role in fostering social skills, emotional intelligence, and a sense of community involvement. By taking part in these kinds of activities, kids can improve their leadership skills, form relationships with others, and feel a sense of purpose that goes beyond academic success. In order to create a well-rounded educational experience that fosters both professional and personal development, these interactions are especially crucial.

Many universities throughout the world have embraced integrated models of student welfare that mix community involvement, mental health treatment, and academic support services into a single institutional framework. These methods frequently use a “whole-campus approach,” integrating wellbeing into all facets of university life, such as curriculum development, instructional strategies, and administrative regulations. Particularly in the post-pandemic setting, digital mental health platforms have become important resources in this field. Students can now get treatment in a private and flexible way thanks to increased accessibility and convenience provided by online counselling services, mental health software, and virtual support groups.

The successful implementation of wellbeing initiatives inside HEIs is nevertheless hampered by a number of issues, especially in the Indian setting, despite these encouraging

advancements. The severe lack of qualified mental health providers is one of the most urgent issues. According to the National Mental Health Survey of India, there is a significant treatment gap, meaning that many people who need mental health services do not receive proper care (Gururaj et al., 2016). Counselling centers in educational institutions are frequently understaffed or lack skilled professionals, reflecting this need.

Students help-seeking behaviour is severely hampered by sociocultural elements like stigma and ignorance in addition to structural constraints. Students are discouraged from using existing support services since mental health problems are often seen as indicators of weakness or personal failure. Concerns about confidentiality and the fear of social or academic consequences exacerbate this stigma.

The effectiveness of wellbeing initiatives is also significantly limited by institutional constraints. The creation and upkeep of extensive support systems are frequently hampered by a lack of funding. Additionally, teachers' capacity to recognise and address student suffering is diminished by their lack of mental health awareness training. Strong workloads and high performance standards are hallmarks of rigid academic frameworks, which increase student stress and provide little opportunity for help or flexibility.

The success of wellbeing efforts is undermined, perhaps most significantly, by the lack of an inclusive and supportive institutional culture. The advantages of institutional support networks can be undermined by an atmosphere that condones prejudice, uncooperative competition, or undue academic pressure. Therefore, promoting holistic wellbeing requires establishing an inclusive, compassionate, and non-discriminatory institutional environment. To ensure that wellbeing is not viewed as a stand-alone endeavour but rather as an essential part of the educational process, such a culture must be ingrained at all levels of the organization.

5. ROLE OF RESEARCH AND EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTIONS

By offering empirical data, theoretical insights, and evaluative frameworks, research plays a crucial role in influencing institutional behaviours and policies pertaining to student wellbeing. Evidence-based approaches are crucial for creating successful and context-sensitive treatments in the context of higher education, where a complex interplay of academic, social, and psychological elements influences student experiences. Through research, educational institutions can go beyond anecdotal knowledge and implement

methodical approaches that cater to the unique requirements of a wide range of student demographics. Additionally, it makes it easier to identify risk factors, evaluate the results of interventions, and continuously improve wellbeing programs, which increases their overall efficacy and durability.

Research on student welfare has identified a number of crucial aspects. These include research on student mental health trends, namely the frequency of stress-related disorders, anxiety, and depression. The effects of demanding courses, competitive settings, and performance demands on Students mental health have been emphasised in research on academic stress. Digital wellbeing has also become more popular as a field of study in recent years, particularly in light of the growing dependence on social media and online learning environments. Digital weariness, excessive screen usage, and cyberbullying are widely acknowledged as major causes of mental health issues among students. Furthermore, socioeconomic factors like family history, financial limitations, and resource accessibility significantly influence Students well-being, calling for more inclusive and equity-focused research approach.

Global research has made a substantial contribution to improving our knowledge of mental health in the context of larger development frameworks. For example, the Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development highlights the importance of including mental health into socioeconomic planning, education systems, and public policy (Patel et al., 2018). These studies highlight the relationship between mental health and other developmental outcomes, such as social inclusion, work, and education. Additionally, it emphasises the significance of preventive measures and early intervention, especially in institutional settings like universities.

Despite these developments, the breadth and depth of studies on student wellness in India are still restricted. The lack of long-term studies that monitor Students mental health over time is one of the main gaps. Understanding the long-term effects of academic settings, policy measures, and sociocultural influences on student wellbeing requires these kinds of studies. Additionally, higher education institutions' data gathering methods are frequently insufficient, lacking standardised instruments and methodical procedures for tracking Sstudents well-being. This leads to inconsistent and fragmented data, which hinders institutions' capacity to create evidence-based policy.

The fact that current research is primarily focused on metropolitan areas is another important drawback. The experiences of students in rural and semi-urban settings are often overlooked because the majority of research is done in urban areas and at prestigious universities. This leads to a distorted perception of student well-being and ignores the many difficulties that other socioeconomic groups encounter. In non-urban areas, problems like cultural stigma, restricted access to mental health treatments, and infrastructure limitations are especially noticeable and call for concentrated investigation.

Stronger cooperation between academic institutions, policymakers, and researchers is required to close the gap between research and practice. By creating specialised research cells devoted to student welfare, universities must actively participate in research efforts. These cells can carry out impact analyses, enhance data collecting, and produce insights that guide institutional decision-making. Furthermore, incorporating wellbeing indicators into frameworks for quality assurance and accreditation, like those of the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), can motivate institutions to use data-driven practices and foster accountability.

Improving student welfare research is crucial to creating inclusive, successful, and well-informed policies and practices. Higher education systems can better address Students changing mental health needs and establish supportive learning environments by cultivating a culture of evidence-based decision-making and institutional collaboration.

6. CONCLUSION

Building accessible, equitable, and sustainable educational institutions now requires holistic health, which is no longer an optional or supplemental component of higher education. The increasing frequency of mental health issues among students, which range from stress and anxiety to more serious psychological disorders, makes it abundantly evident that the performance-oriented educational models currently in use are insufficient to meet the holistic needs of students. These patterns highlight the need for systemic change that takes a more institutionalised and integrated approach to student health rather than focusing only on single initiatives.

This article has shown that policy frameworks, institutional practices, and research views must be interconnected in order to effectively promote holistic wellness. The normative and regulatory framework required to give mental health top priority in educational agendas is

provided by policies. However, such policies are essentially symbolic in the absence of strong institutional practices. In a similar vein, methods that are not supported by empirical study run the danger of becoming unsustainable or ineffectual. In order to address the multifaceted and dynamic character of student wellness, it is imperative that these three dimensions be integrated. By enabling a more thorough knowledge of student needs and institutional duties, an interdisciplinary approach that draws from psychology, education, sociology, and public policy further improves this framework.

It is feasible to establish settings that promote academic success as well as emotional fortitude, social cohesion, and ethical growth by integrating holistic wellbeing into the fundamental operations of higher education establishments. These kinds of settings support Students development of adaptive coping strategies, a sense of purpose and belonging, and meaningful engagement with their learning processes. Additionally, promoting wellness in educational environments helps society as a whole by producing people who are not only competent but also compassionate, accountable, and equipped to handle challenging social issues.

Higher education's ability to move beyond conventional paradigms and adopt a more human-centered approach to learning and growth will ultimately determine its destiny. Academic achievement and student well-being are closely related, and institutions need to acknowledge this. Reimagining higher education as a place that fosters people's full potential so requires integrating holistic health into institutional structures, policies, and cultures. By doing this, higher education may fulfil its larger social responsibility of producing well-rounded individuals who make significant contributions to both domestic and international advancement.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

To operationalise holistic wellbeing within higher education institutions, it is imperative to adopt a comprehensive and multi-layered approach that integrates legal, institutional, research-oriented, and preventive strategies. These measures must function cohesively to ensure that student wellbeing is not treated as an auxiliary concern but as a central component of the educational framework. Legislation that particularly addresses mental health at HEIs is desperately needed from a legal and policy standpoint. A framework like this should clearly define institutions' responsibilities, such as providing mental health services and upholding

minimal standards of care. To guarantee accountability and uniform implementation across institutions, mandatory compliance audits ought to be implemented. In order to prevent financial limitations from undermining policy objectives, specific budgetary allocations must be made to support staffing, program implementation, and facility development linked to student wellness.

Establishing fully operational counselling centers manned by licensed mental health specialists must be a top priority for HEIs at the institutional level. These facilities ought to be easily accessible, private, and well-equipped to handle a variety of student issues. In order to effectively address the needs of students, faculty members and administrative personnel should also regularly get training in mental health awareness and early distress detection. By including wellbeing into courses, seminars, or embedded modules, academic curriculum can further normalise conversations about mental health and foster a resilient and self-care-oriented society. Promoting interdisciplinary study on student wellness that incorporates ideas from education, psychology, sociology, and law is crucial from a research perspective. Creating national databases on student mental health can help with evidence-based policies and allow for cross-institutional and cross-regional comparisons. To close the gap between theoretical understanding and real-world application, academic institutions, policymakers, and research organisations must work together more closely.

Lastly, in order to treat the underlying causes of mental health issues, preventive efforts must be prioritised. Regular awareness initiatives are necessary to lessen stigma and promote help-seeking behaviour. Peer support networks, such as student-led projects, can offer relatable and easily accessible kinds of help. Institutions should also implement adaptable academic practices that lessen undue strain, like helpful learning settings and balanced evaluation techniques.

References

1. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Harvard University Press.
2. Gururaj, G., Varghese, M., Benegal, V., Rao, G. N., Pathak, K., Singh, L. K., & NMHS Collaborators Group. (2016). *National mental health survey of India, 2015–16*. NIMHANS.

3. Hunt, J., & Eisenberg, D. (2010). Mental health problems and help-seeking behavior among college students. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 46*(1), 3–10.
4. Keyes, C. L. M. (2002). The mental health continuum. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 43*(2), 207–222.
5. Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India.
6. NCRB. (2022). *Accidental deaths & suicides in India*. Government of India.
7. Nussbaum, M. (2011). *Creating capabilities*. Harvard University Press.
8. Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health. *The Lancet, 392*(10157).
9. Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish*. Free Press.
10. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
11. United Nations. (2015). *Sustainable Development Goals*.
12. World Health Organization. (2013). *Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020*.
13. American College Health Association. (2022). *National college health assessment III: Undergraduate student reference group executive summary*. ACHA.
14. Auerbach, R. P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., … Kessler, R. C. (2018). WHO World Mental Health Surveys international college student project: Prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 127*(7), 623–638.
15. Beiter, R., Nash, R., McCrady, M., Rhoades, D., Linscomb, M., Clarahan, M., &Sammut, S. (2015). The prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and stress in a sample of college students. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 173*, 90–96.
16. Dodge, R., Daly, A. P., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. D. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing, 2*(3), 222–235.
17. Eisenberg, D., Hunt, J., & Speer, N. (2013). Mental health in American colleges and universities: Variation across student subgroups. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 201*(1), 60–67.
18. Fazel, M., Hoagwood, K., Stephan, S., & Ford, T. (2014). Mental health interventions in schools: A review of universal, selective, and indicated approaches. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 55*(4), 377–400.

19. Gallagher, R. P. (2014). *National survey of college counselingcenters*. International Association of Counseling Services.

20. Gruttadaro, D., &Crudo, D. (2012). *College students speak: A survey report on mental health*. National Alliance on Mental Illness.

21. Hernández-Torrano, D., Reijke, S., & Carrasco, D. (2020). Student mental health in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 32*(3), 789–812.

22. Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of mental disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 62*(6), 593–602.

23. McGorry, P., Purcell, R., Goldstone, S., &Amminger, G. (2011). Age of onset and timing of treatment for mental disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 24*(4), 301–306.

24. Okanagan Charter. (2015). *An international charter for health promoting universities and colleges*. International Conference on Health Promoting Universities.

25. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2021). *Supporting young people's mental health through education*. OECD Publishing.

26. Reavley, N. J., &Jorm, A. F. (2010). Prevention and early intervention for mental health problems in higher education. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry, 4*(2), 132–142.

27. Rickwood, D., Deane, F. P., Wilson, C. J., &Ciarrochi, J. (2005). Young people's help-seeking for mental health problems. *Australian e-Journal for the Advancement of Mental Health, 4*(3), 218–251.

28. Slade, M. (2010). Mental illness and well-being: The central importance of positive psychology. *BMC Health Services Research, 10*, 26.

29. Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students. *Australian Psychologist, 45*(4), 249–257.

30. Thorley, C. (2017). *Not by degrees: Improving student mental health in the UK's universities*. Institute for Public Policy Research.

31. World Health Organization & UNESCO. (2021). *Making every school a health-promoting school*. WHO.